INDEX NO. 651786/2011

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/04/2013

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	BARBARA R.	KAPNICK		PART 3	9
		Justice			<del></del>
BANK OF vs.	nber : 651786/2011 NEW YORK MELLON ORDER PURSUANT TO			INDEX NO	
•	CE NUMBER : 040			MOTION SEQ. NO	)
The following paper	ers, numbered 1 to, v	vere read on this motion	to/for		<del></del>
Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits				No(s)	
Answering Affidavits — Exhibits				No(s)	
Replying Affidavit	s			No(s)	
Upon the foregoi	ing papers, it is ordered th	at this motion is			
·		DECIDED IN ACC NYING MEMORAN			
/	/		4		
Dated:///	<u>///3</u>			BARBARA R. KAP	, J.S.C.
ECK ONE:	•	CASE DISPO	SED	NON-FIN	AL DISPOSITION
ECK AS APPROPRIA	ATE:MOTIC	ON IS: GRANTED	DENIED	GRANTED IN PAR	T OTHER
ECK IF APPROPRIAT	TE:	SETTLE ORD	ER	SUBMIT	ORDER
		☐ DO NOT POS	T FIDUC	IARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: IA PART 39

----X

In the matter of the application of

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON (as Trustee under various Pooling and Servicing Agreements and Indenture Trustee under various Indentures) et al.

Petitioners,

DECISION/ORDER Index No. 651786/11 Motion Seq. No. 040

for an order, pursuant to CPLR § 7701, seeking judicial instructions and approval of a proposed settlement

## BARBARA R. KAPNICK, J.:

This motion was brought by three members of the Steering Committee - the AIG Entities, the Triaxx Entities and the Federal Home Loan Banks of Boston, Chicago and Indianapolis (the "movants") - by Order to Show Cause for an order pursuant to CPLR 4402:

- (1) Following the trial dates that are already set in September, continuing the trial to allow Respondents an opportunity to obtain discovery regarding BNYM's investigation and evaluation of claims;
- Compelling BNYM to produce documents concerning BNYM's (2) investigation and evaluation of claims, including documents that were previously subject to claims of

<sup>1</sup> Since the submission of this motion, the Federal Home Loan Banks of Boston, Chicago and Indianapolis have withdrawn from this action pursuant to Order dated November 1, 2013. (NYSCEF Doc. No. 1013.)

privilege;

(3) permitting necessary depositions based on that production; and

(4) granting leave to recall any witnesses to provide additional testimony based on the newly disclosed evidence.

After hearing oral argument on the record on September 25, 2013 and reviewing each instance of alleged waiver, which are cataloged by the movants in the "Appendix" to their "Memorandum of Law in Support of the Order to Show Cause Why the Court Should Not Continue the Trial Following the September Trial Dates to Allow Discovery Concerning Newly Disclosed Evidence," and petitioner's "Reasons Testimony Was Not a Waiver," which are contained in the "Testimony Chart" (see Memo Opp. n.2), this Court finds that there has been no waiver of the attorney client privilege or work product protection and thus the motion is denied in its entirety.

This constitutes the order of this Court.

Dated: November 4, 2013

Arbaha H. Kaphici.