FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 01/14/2013

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 422

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01/14/2013

INDEX NO. 651786/2011

Exhibit 8

MAYER · BROWN

Mayer Brown LLP 1675 Broadway New York, New York 10019-5820

> Main Tel +1 212 506 2500 Main Fax +1 212 262 1910 www.mayerbrown.com

Matthew D. Ingber
Direct Tel +1 212 506 2373
Direct Fax +1 212 849 5973
mingber@mayerbrown.com

November 2, 2012

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Michael Rollin Reilly Pozner LLP 1900 Sixteenth St., Suite 1700 Denver, CO 80202

Re:

In re The Bank of New York Mellon—subpoena to RRMS

Dear Mike:

This letter responds to yours of October 24, which is yet another step by the objectors to move beyond the relevance standard in CPLR § 3101. We have long since produced all documents that the Trustee relied on in connection with its decision to enter into the Settlement. We have also produced all documents that the Trustee provided to its experts. You have conducted or noticed eight days of depositions of experts and/or vendors, including some that had nothing to do with the Trustee's evaluation of the Settlement Agreement.

Now you want even more documents, but these are documents that the Trustee never saw, including at least several that Brian Lin testified that *he* never saw and does not possess. Thus, we will not produce the

as you know, neither RRMS nor

BNYM has (or ever had) these documents.

As to the remainder of your demands, while we do not believe that any of the requested documents are relevant, we are willing to meet and confer so that you can explain why you believe they must be produced. In no event, however, will we agree to yet another day of deposition for Brian Lin. We served objections to the subpoena before the deposition, and if you were concerned about the scope of the objections and the production, you could have raised the issue at that time.

We are glad that you have responded to our request for a meet and confer on your prior requests for additional documents from Jason Kravitt and Loretta Lundberg. We are available to discuss all of these issues at your convenience next week—Tuesday or Thursday would be best.

Sincerely,

Matthew D. Ingber