NYSCEF DOC. NO. 473

A June

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 01*1.6/2013

INDEX NO. 651786/2011

WORKING COPIES FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

At Commercial Division Part 39 Supreme Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of New York, at the Courthouse located at 60 Centre Street, New York, New York, on the 14th day of January, 2013

PRESENT:

HONORABLE BARBARA R. KAPNICK, J.S.C.



In the matter of the application of

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON (as Trustee under various Pooling and Servicing Agreements and Indenture Trustee under various Indentures), *et al*.

Petitioners.

for an order, pursuant to C.P.L.R. § 7701, seeking judicial instructions and approval of a proposed settlement.

"Index No. 651786/2011 ""

Assigned to: Kapnick, J. (Part 39)

ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE WHY THE
COURT SHOULD NOT
COMPEL EVIDENCE
PURPORTEDLY
PROTECTED UNDER
THE COMMON
INTEREST PRIVILEGE

UPON the annexed Affirmation of Derek W. Loeser, dated January 14, 2013, with exhibits attached thereto, the accompanying Memorandum of Law in Support of the Order to Show Cause Why the Court Should Not Compel Evidence Purportedly Protected Under the Common Interest Privilege, dated January 14, 2013, and all pleadings and proceedings previously had herein,

SUFFICIENT CAUSE THEREFOR BEING ALLEGED, IT IS HEREBY

ORDERED that petitioner The Bank of New York Mellon ("BNYM") show cause before this Court at Commercial Division Part 39, to be held at 60 Centre Street, New York, New York, on the 7th day of February, 2013 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon as counsel may be heard, why an order should not be entered as follows:

- Pursuant to CPLR § 3124, compelling BNYM and the Inside Institutional
 Investors to produce documents identified in the Inside Institutional Investors'
 May 21, 2012 privilege log currently being withheld under the common interest exception to the attorney client privilege;
- 2) Resumption of the deposition of Jason Kravitt; and
- 3) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

 Pend alleged

 SUFFICIENT REASON APPEARING THEREFOR, let service of a copy of this

Order, together with the papers upon which it was granted, upon counsel for BNYM, by electronic filing service, and a courtesy copy of the signed order by electronic mail on or before the th day of January, 2013, be deemed good and sufficient service. In accordance with the briefing schedule previously ordered by this Court, any answering papers shall be filed and served upon all counsel of record on or before January 28, 2013, and reply papers shall be filed with the Clerk of TA Part 39 and served upon all counsel of record on or before February 1, 2013.

Oral argument is requested on this motion. Oral arugment is requested on this motion.

Counsel submitting this Order to Show Cause has affirmed that a good faith effort has

been made to resolve the issues raised in this Order to Show Qause with coursel for BNYM.

ENTER.

LS.C.

ORAL ARGUMENT

barbara R. K

J.S.C

BARBARA R. KAPNICA